## §405.1839

prescribed in §405.1835(a)(3) of this subpart.

- (5)(i) Except as specified in paragraph (ii) of this paragraph, when a provider has appealed an issue through electing to form, or joining, a group appeal under the procedures set forth in this section, it may not subsequently request that the Board transfer that issue to a single provider appeal brought in accordance with § 405.1811 or § 405.1835 of this subpart.
- (ii) Exception. When the Board determines that the requirements for a group appeal are not met (that is, when there has been a failure to meet the amount in controversy or the common issue requirement), it transfers the issue that was the subject of the group appeal to a single provider appeal (or appeals) for the provider (or providers) that meets (or meet) the requirements for a single provider appeal.
- (f) Limitations on group appeals. (1) After the date of receipt by the Board of a group appeal hearing request under paragraph (c) of this section, a provider may not add other questions of fact or law to the appeal, regardless of whether the question is common to other members of the appeal (as described in § 405.1837(a)(2) and (g) of this subpart).
- (2) The Board may not consider, in one group appeal, more than one question of fact, interpretation of law, regulations, or CMS Rulings that is common to each provider in the appeal. If the Board finds jurisdiction over a group appeal hearing request under § 405.1840 of this subpart—
- (i) The Board must determine whether the appeal involves specific matters at issue that raise more than one factual or legal question common to each provider; and
- (ii) When the appeal is found to involve more than one factual or legal question common to each provider, the Board must assign a separate case number to the appeal of each common factual or legal question and conduct further proceedings in the various appeals separately for each case.
- (g) Issues not common to the group appeal. A provider involved in a group appeal that also wishes to appeal a specific matter that does not raise a factual or legal question common to each of the other providers in the group

must file a separate request for a single provider hearing in accordance with §405.1811 or §405.1835 of this subpart, or file a separate request for a hearing as part of a different group appeal under this section, as applicable.

[73 FR 30250, May 23, 2008]

## § 405.1839 Amount in controversy.

- (a) Single provider appeals. (1) In order to satisfy the amount in controversy requirement under §405.1811(a)(2) of this subpart for an intermediary hearing or the amount in controversy requirement under §405.1835(a)(2) of this subpart for a Board hearing for a single provider, the provider must demonstrate that if its appeal were successful, the provider's total program reimbursement for each cost reporting period under appeal would increase by at least \$1,000 but by less than \$10,000 for an intermediary hearing, or by at least \$10,000 for a Board hearing, as applicable.
- (2) Aggregation of claims. For purposes of satisfying the applicable amount in controversy requirement for a single provider appeal to the intermediary or the Board, the provider may aggregate claims for additional program payment for more than one specific matter at issue, provided each specific claim and issue is for the same cost reporting period. Aggregation of claims from more than one cost reporting period to meet the applicable amount in controversy requirement is prohibited, even if a specific claim or issue in the appeal recurs for multiple cost years.
- (b) Group appeals. (1) In order to satisfy the amount in controversy requirement under §405.1837(a)(3) of this subpart for a Board hearing as a group appeal, the group must demonstrate that if its appeal were successful, the total program reimbursement for the cost reporting periods under appeal would increase, in the aggregate, by at least \$50,000
- (2) Aggregation of claims. (i) For purposes of satisfying the amount in controversy requirement, group members are not allowed to aggregate claims involving different issues.
- (A) A group appeal must involve a single question of fact or interpretation of law, regulations, or CMS Ruling

that is common to each provider (as described in §405.1837(a)(2) of this subpart).

- (B) The single issue that is common to each provider may exist over different cost reporting periods.
- (ii) For purposes of satisfying the amount in controversy requirement, a provider may appeal multiple cost reporting periods and different providers in the group may appeal different cost reporting periods.
- (c) Limitations on change in Medicare reimbursement. (1) In order to satisfy the applicable amount in controversy requirement for a single provider appeal or a group appeal, an appeal favorable to the provider(s) on all specific matters at issue in the appeal increases program reimbursement for the provider(s) in the cost reporting period(s) at issue by an amount that equals or exceeds the applicable amount in controversy threshold.
- (2) The applicable amount in controversy requirement is not satisfied if the result of a favorable appeal decreases program reimbursement for the provider(s) in the cost reporting year(s) at issue in the appeal.
- (3) Any effects that a favorable appeal might have on program reimbursement for the provider(s) in cost reporting period(s) not at issue in the appeal have no bearing on whether the amount in controversy requirement is satisfied for the cost year(s) at issue in the appeal.
- (4) When a provider (or group of providers) has requested a hearing before an intermediary under §405.1811 of this subpart, and the amount in controversy is subsequently determined to be at least \$10,000 (for example, due to a reassessment of the amount in controversy by the intermediary hearing office or due to adding an issue), the appeal is transferred to the Board. The Board is not bound by any jurisdictional finding of the intermediary hearing officer(s).
- (5) When a provider or group of providers has requested a hearing before the Board under § 405.1835 or § 405.1837 of this subpart, and the amount in controversy changes to an amount less than the minimum for a Board appeal due to—

- (A) The settlement or partial settlement of an issue, transfer of an issue to a group appeal, or the abandonment of an issue in an individual appeal, the change in the amount in controversy does not deprive the Board of jurisdiction.
- (B) A more accurate assessment of the amount in controversy, the Board does not retain jurisdiction.

[73 FR 30252, May 23, 2008; 73 FR 49356, Aug. 21, 2008]

## § 405.1840 Board jurisdiction.

- (a) General rules. (1) After a request for a Board hearing is filed under §405.1835 or §405.1837 of this part, the Board must determine in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section, whether or not it has jurisdiction to grant a hearing on each of the specific matters at issue in the hearing request.
- (2) The Board must make a preliminary determination of the scope of its jurisdiction (that is, whether the request for hearing was timely, and whether the amount in controversy requirement has been met), if any, over the matters at issue in the appeal before conducting any of the following proceedings:
- (i) Determining its authority to decide a legal question relevant to a matter at issue (as described in §405.1842 of this subpart).
- (ii) Permitting discovery (as described in § 405.1853 of this subpart).
- (iii) Issuing a subpoena (as described in §405.1857 of this subpart).
- (iv) Conducting a hearing (as described in §405.1845 of this subpart).
- (3) The Board may revise a preliminary determination of jurisdiction at any subsequent stage of the proceedings in a Board appeal, and must promptly notify the parties of any revised determination. Under paragraph (c)(1) of this section, each expedited judicial review (EJR) decision (as described in §405.1842 of this subpart) and hearing decision (as described in §405.1871 of this subpart) by the Board must include a jurisdictional finding for each specific matter at issue in the appeal.
- (4) If the Board finally determines it lacks jurisdiction over every specific matter at issue in the appeal, the